

MINUTES OF THE CITY COUNCIL

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

Regular Meeting

February 27, 1964
10:00 A.M.

Council Chamber, City Hall

The meeting was called to order with Mayor Palmer presiding.

Roll call:

Present: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Absent: None

Present also: W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager; Doren R. Eskew, City Attorney; Reuben Rountree, Jr., Director of Public Works; Robert A. Miles, Chief of Police

Invocation was delivered by FATHER JOSEPH J. SMITH, St. Louis Catholic Church.

LT. T. S. (Pete) WEAVER introduced the Police Cadets, MR. JAMES A. COONEY, MR. VIRGIL G. PHELPS, MR. JOHN K. ROSS, MR. GEORGE B. SHEPARD, and MR. MANLEY R. STEPHENS. The Council greeted the Cadets, and Mayor Palmer stated Austin has one of the finest Police Departments in the State and in the Nation.

MR. AMOS HEROLD distributed copies of his statement regarding the Civil Rights Bill presently before the United States Congress.

MR. JAY HODGSON appeared in the interest of the newly formed LONGHORN HANDBALL CLUB'S first invitational tournament. The finest handball courts in the world are located at the University, and this is something for which the University and Austin should be very proud. Many men from all of the United States will be coming to Austin this week end to participate. MR. DICK ROBERTSON appeared with Mr. Hodgson. The Council purchased tickets to this Tournament.

At this point MAYOR PALMER was called out of the Council Chamber, and MAYOR PRO-TEM LaRUE presided.

Councilman Long moved that the Minutes of the Meeting of February 13, 1964, be approved. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Pro-tem LaRue
Noes: None
Absent: Mayor Palmer

Councilman Long introduced the following ordinance and moved that it be published in accordance with Article I, Section 6 of the Charter of the City of Austin, and set for public hearing for 10:30 A.M. March 12, 1964:

AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND THE ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN ADDITIONAL TERRITORY CONSISTING OF 34.26 ACRES OF LAND, SAME BEING OUT OF AND A PART OF THE SANTIAGO DEL VALLE GRANT, IN TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; WHICH SAID ADDITIONAL TERRITORY LIES ADJACENT TO AND ADJOINS THE PRESENT BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, IN PARTICULARS STATED IN THE ORDINANCE.
(Greenbriar, Section One, and unplatted tracts)

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Pro-tem LaRue
Noes: None
Absent: Mayor Palmer

Mayor Pro-tem LaRue introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE DECLARING THE NECESSITY FOR AND ORDERING THE PAVING AND IMPROVEMENT OF PORTIONS OF CERTAIN STREETS IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, APPROVING PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR SUCH WORK, AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ADVERTISE FOR BIDS, DIRECTING THE PREPARATION OF ESTIMATES, INVOKING THE ALTERNATE PROCEDURE PROVIDED BY ARTICLE I, SECTION 5 OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND CHAPTER 106 OF THE ACTS OF THE FIRST CALLED SESSION OF THE 40TH LEGISLATURE OF TEXAS, DETERMINING THAT THE COST OF SUCH IMPROVEMENTS SHALL BE PAID BY THE CITY OF AUSTIN, PROVIDING A METHOD OF REIMBURSING THE CITY OF AUSTIN FOR A PORTION OF SUCH COSTS BY ASSESSMENT OF A PORTION OF SUCH COSTS AGAINST THE PROPERTY ABUTTING SUCH STREETS OR PORTIONS THEREOF TO BE IMPROVED, AND FOR THE FIXING OF A LIEN TO SECURE PAYMENT OF SUCH ASSESSMENTS, STATING THE TIME AND MANNER PROPOSED FOR PAYMENT OF ALL SUCH COSTS, DIRECTING THE CITY CLERK TO CAUSE A NOTICE OF THE ENACTMENT OF THIS ORDINANCE TO BE FILED IN THE MORTGAGE OR DEED OF TRUST RECORDS OF TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY. (Canterbury Street and Sundry other streets)

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Pro-tem LaRue
Noes: None
Absent: Mayor Palmer

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Pro-tem LaRue
Noes: None
Absent: Mayor Palmer

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Long moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Pro-tem LaRue
 Noes: None
 Absent: Mayor Palmer

The Mayor Pro-tem announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

The City Manager submitted the following:

"February 27, 1964

"To the City Council
 City of Austin, Texas

"Re: Completion and Acceptance of Work Improving Portions of Certain Streets in the City of Austin Being Assessment Paving Contract Number 63-A-14

"The work of improving portions of the following named streets in the City of Austin, being Assessment Paving Contract Number 63-A-14, dated September 26, 1963, between the City of Austin and Bowden & Associates, Inc., has been performed and completed by Bowden & Associates, Inc. in full compliance with the contract and the plans and specifications therein contained:

<u>"Street</u>	<u>From</u>	<u>To</u>
Chalmers Avenue	NPL Riverview Street	SPL Holly Street
Chicon Street	SPL Riverview Street	SPL Holly Street
Comal Street	A point 382' south of SPL Haskell Street	SPL Holly Street
Davis Street	West curb line of Red River Street	WPL Rainey Street
Driskill Street	EPL Red River Street	WPL East Avenue
Haskell Street	EPL Waller Street	WPL Lynn Street
Maple Avenue	A point 175' south of SPL East 21st Street	SPL Manor Road
Oaklawn Avenue	WGL Stafford Street	WPL Walnut Avenue
Pecan Springs Road	EGL Marlo Drive	WPL Springdale Road
Rainey Street	NPL River Street	SPL Driskill Street
Red River Street	South curb line of Davis Street	SGL East 1st Street
River Street	WPL Bierce Street	WGL East Avenue
Sabine Street	NPL East 11th Street	SGL East 14th Street
Stafford Street	SPL Rogers Avenue	SPL Oaklawn Avenue
Waller Street	NPL East 1st Street	SPL East 3rd Street
Walnut Avenue	NPL East 12th Street	SPL East 19th Street

"I have inspected, approved, and accepted the work and improvements referred to, and I now recommend that the same be accepted and received by the City Council as having been performed and completed in compliance with the contract, plans, and specifications referred to above.

"Respectfully submitted,
s/ S. Reuben Rountree, Jr.
S. Reuben Rountree, Jr.
Director of Public Works"

Mayor Pro-tem LaRue introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE RECEIVING AND ACCEPTING THE WORK OF IMPROVING CHALMERS AVENUE AND SUNDRY OTHER STREETS IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, WITHIN THE LIMITS HEREBELOW DEFINED, PERFORMED BY BOWDEN AND ASSOCIATES AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE ISSUANCE OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENT CERTIFICATES IN CONNECTION THEREWITH; DECLARING AN EMERGENCY, AND PROVIDING THAT THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY UPON ITS PASSAGE.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Pro-tem LaRue
Noes: None
Absent: Mayor Palmer

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Pro-tem LaRue
Noes: None
Absent: Mayor Palmer

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Pro-tem LaRue
Noes: None
Absent: Mayor Palmer

The Mayor Pro-tem announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

The City Manager submitted the following:

"February 27, 1964

"To the City Council
City of Austin, Texas

"Re: Completion and Acceptance of Work Improving
Portions of Certain Streets in the City of
Austin Being Assessment Paving Contract
Number 63-A-10

"The work of improving portions of the following named streets in the City of Austin, being Assessment Paving Contract Number 63-A-10, dated August 1, 1963,

between the City of Austin and J. W. Steelman, Inc., has been performed and completed by J. W. Steelman, Inc. in full compliance with the contract and the plans and specifications therein contained:

<u>Street</u>	<u>From</u>	<u>To</u>
Bennett Avenue	A point 118' south of SPL East 43rd Street	SPL East 44th Street
Clarkson Avenue	SGL East 43rd Street	SPL East 45th Street
Ellingson Lane	EPL Red River Street	WPL Bennett Avenue
Red River Street	SGL East 41st Street (East)	SPL East 45th Street
East 38th Street	A point 290' west of SPL East 38th Street Cut-off	SPL East 38th Street Cut-off
East 38th Street Cut-off	NGL East 38th Street	A point 106' east of NGL East 38th Street

"I have inspected, approved, and accepted the work and improvements referred to, and I now recommend that the same be accepted and received by the City Council as having been performed and completed in compliance with the contract, plans, and specifications referred to above.

"Respectfully submitted,
s/ S. Reuben Rountree, Jr.
S. Reuben Rountree, Jr.
Director of Public Works"

Mayor Pro-tem LaRue introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE RECEIVING AND ACCEPTING THE WORK OF IMPROVING BENNETT AVENUE AND SUNDRY OTHER STREETS IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, WITHIN THE LIMITS HEREBELOW DEFINED, PERFORMED BY J. W. STEELMAN, INC. AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE ISSUANCE OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENT CERTIFICATES IN CONNECTION THEREWITH; DECLARING AN EMERGENCY, AND PROVIDING THAT THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY UPON ITS PASSAGE.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Pro-tem LaRue
Noes: None
Absent: Mayor Palmer

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Pro-tem LaRue
Noes: None
Absent: Mayor Palmer

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried

by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Pro-tem LaRue
 Noes: None
 Absent: Mayor Palmer

The Mayor Pro-tem announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

The City Manager submitted the following:

"February 27, 1964

"To the City Council
 City of Austin, Texas

"Re: Completion and Acceptance of Work Improving Portions of Certain Streets in the City of Austin Being Assessment Paving Contract Number 63-A-7

"The work of improving portions of the following named streets in the City of Austin, being Assessment Paving Contract Number 63-A-7, dated June 20, 1963, between the City of Austin and Werneburg Construction Company, has been performed and completed by Werneburg Construction Company in full compliance with the contract and the plans and specifications therein contained:

<u>Street</u>	<u>From</u>	<u>To</u>
Berkman Drive	SPL Wheless Lane (East)	SGL U. S. Highway 290
Brentwood Street	EPL Guadalupe Street	WPL Canion Street
Caswell Avenue	NPL East 51st Street	SGL East 52nd Street
Cullen Avenue	EPL Burnet Lane	WPL Hardy Drive
Gaylor Street	NPL Brentwood Street	WPL Guadalupe Street
Hardy Drive	NPL Justin Lane	SPL Pasadena Drive
Lancaster Court	NPL East 51st Street	A point 171' north of NPL East 52nd Street
Linda Lane	NPL Briarcliff Boulevard	SGL Wheless Lane
Linda Lane	NPL Wheless Lane	SPL Patton Lane
Oldham Street	NPL East 25th Street	NGL Wahrenberger Street
Pampa Drive	EGL Airport Boulevard	A point 146' west of WPL Isabelle Drive
Patton Lane	EPL Berkman Drive	WPL Mira Loma Lane
Raymond Street	EPL Lamar Boulevard	A point 135' east of EPL Lamar Boulevard
Shirley Avenue	NPL Gaylor Street	SPL Williams Street
Wahrenberger Street	WGL Oldham Street (South)	WPL Cole Street
Wheless Lane	EPL Berkman Drive	EPL Peggy Street
Williams Street	SGL Raymond Street	WGL Canion Street
East 39th Street	EPL Speedway	WGL Avenue "F"
East 50th Street	EPL Avenue "F"	WPL Avenue "G"
East 51st Street	EGL Airport Boulevard	WPL Interregional Highway
East 52nd Street	EPL Lancaster Court	A point 414' east of EPL Lancaster Court

"I have inspected, approved, and accepted the work and improvements referred to, and I now recommend that the same be accepted and received by the City Council as

having been performed and completed in compliance with the contract, plans, and specifications referred to above.

"Respectfully Submitted,
s/ S. Reuben Rountree, Jr.
S. Reuben Rountree, Jr.
Director of Public Works"

Mayor Pro-tem LaRue introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE RECEIVING AND ACCEPTING THE WORK OF IMPROVING BERKMAN DRIVE AND SUNDRY OTHER STREETS IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, WITHIN THE LIMITS HEREBELOW DEFINED, PERFORMED BY WERNEBURG CONSTRUCTION COMPANY AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE ISSUANCE OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENT CERTIFICATES IN CONNECTION THEREWITH; DECLARING AN EMERGENCY, AND PROVIDING THAT THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY UPON ITS PASSAGE.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Pro-tem LaRue
Noes: None
Absent: Mayor Palmer

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman White moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Pro-tem LaRue
Noes: None
Absent: Mayor Palmer

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman White moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Pro-tem LaRue
Noes: None
Absent: Mayor Palmer

The Mayor Pro-tem announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

At this point MAYOR PALMER returned to the Council Chamber and presided.

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE VACATING AND PERPETUALLY CLOSING TO PUBLIC TRAVEL PORTIONS OF WEST 38TH STREET AND LAKESIDE BOULEVARD, IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; RETAINING IN THE CITY EASEMENTS FOR CERTAIN PURPOSES; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF AN ORDINANCE ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Shanks moved that the

rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Shanks moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Shanks moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Councilman Shanks offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, there has been submitted to the Building Inspector, the application of Mr. Thomas Scott Dean for a building permit together with a site plan dated February 26, 1964, meeting the requirements of Section 10-B, 3 of the Zoning Ordinance of the City, for certain building establishment at 1301 Nueces more particularly described in said application; and,

WHEREAS, it has been found and determined by the City Council of the City of Austin that, based upon the use of the premises for the purpose of erecting an office building the maximum number of parking spaces which will probably be used by employees and customers of such establishment, taking into account the loading facilities on the site, the public parking areas and street space available for parking in the vicinity, public safety, and free circulation of traffic both on and off the site, is sixteen (16) parking spaces; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That sixteen (16) spaces is an adequate number of parking spaces for the establishment shown on the site plan of Mr. Thomas Scott Dean dated February 26, 1964, for use of the premises for the purpose of erecting an office building.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Not in the Council Room when roll was called: Councilman White

Councilman Shanks offered the following resolution and moved its adoption subject to the applicant's widening the pavement and narrowing the area around the trees:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, there has been submitted to the Building Inspector, the application of Mr. Ed Hilla for a building permit together with a site plan dated February 26, 1964, meeting the requirements of Section 10-B, 3 of the Zoning Ordinance of the City, for certain building establishment at 1916 Oldham Street, more particularly described in said application; and,

WHEREAS, it has been found and determined by the City Council of the City of Austin that, based upon the use of the premises for the purpose of erecting an apartment hotel the maximum number of parking spaces which will probably be used by employees and customers of such establishment, taking into account the loading facilities on the site, the public parking areas and street space available for parking in the vicinity, public safety, and free circulation of traffic both on and off the site, is thirty-four (34) parking spaces; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That thirty-four (34) spaces is an adequate number of parking spaces for the establishment shown on the site plan of Mr. Ed Hilla dated February 26, 1964, for use of the premises for the purpose of erecting an apartment hotel.

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

At 10:30 A.M., Mayor Palmer announced the hearing on the ordinance annexing proposed CHERRYLAWN, SECTION 5 was open. No one appeared to be heard. Mayor Palmer brought up the following ordinance for its first reading:

AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND THE ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN ADDITIONAL TERRITORY CONSISTING OF 22.27 ACRES OF LAND, SAME BEING OUT OF AND A PART OF THE THOMAS ELDRIDGE SURVEY, IN TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; WHICH SAID ADDITIONAL TERRITORY LIES ADJACENT TO AND ADJOINS THE PRESENT BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, IN PARTICULARS STATED IN THE ORDINANCE.
(Cherrylawn, Section 5 and an Unplatted Tract)

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Long moved that the ordinance be passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The Council had before it a Resolution authorizing the City Manager to execute an agreement for a Community Renewal Program. The Mayor explained in connection with this, the study and the workable program of the area in which these new problems are to be studied, the City is concerned in continuously indicating its attitude toward community development; that it is sincere about adopting building code ordinances and in many of the other aspects. This is a study of the socio-economic effects on the community, and it is a very comprehensive study and the information that has been developed by the Planning Commission is excellent. By voting for this, the Council would be indicating its interest as a Council as seeing that the City is always concerned with the problems wherever there is high incidents of crime, fire, disease, etc. Councilman White asked where this was and if it were all over the city. The City Manager stated this study applied to the whole city. Councilman LaRue, after looking into this, said there were some \$38,000 of the City's money involved, and the thought had occurred that the surveys and the methods that were used in the beginning might be appropriate at this time. He said the Director of Planning and the Urban Renewal Board came up with the basic facts that enabled the initiation of the original Urban Renewal Program. They did an excellent job, and the question had occurred that perhaps they might continue the work they stated and come up with approximately the same results that might be found in this study. It might take a little longer, but it would be a savings to the tax payers and not involve at least a minimum of \$38,000 in this survey. Councilman Long stated she thought the survey was worthwhile and necessary to complete the Urban Renewal Program, and it is a matter of continuing with the program that was started and that the people voted on in 1956, and this was just a continuation of that program. Councilman LaRue said it would be necessary to have the results but he still believed they could be obtained at considerably less expense than the \$38,000. There was such an excellent job done that the initial expense was justified in the first program; and if a good job had not been accomplished, the Federal Government would not have approved the project. He believed that the City could continue to operate on that basis, and in the future there would be additional requests for this information. He said he would hate to establish a precedent when the City had already done such a good job with its present staff, and he recommended that the \$38,000 not be spent, but that the work be continued with the City staff. The City Manager explained this would be done by the Staff, but it would be a much more expensive undertaking than the \$38,000 and only one-third would be paid by the City. The Mayor asked that this be considered later in the meeting, as it possibly should be discussed further. Councilman Long withdrew the motion she previously made to authorize the execution of the contract. Councilman White stated Mr. LaRue was quite right on that spending money; and that this should be gone into further. He said he was not for throwing money away like that, if it were throwing it away. It may not be thrown away, but he was not for spending money on checking up on things. The Mayor stated no member on the Council deliberately wanted to spend money unless it knows it is going to get full value on every dollar spent. It is not the intention of any to throw away city money. He stated the Council was looking at a problem that has to be faced, and there are many problems that require some real careful intensive comprehensive studying because the City is growing very fast. Action was delayed until the afternoon meeting.

The City Manager submitted the following:

"Sealed bids opened 2:00 P.M. Feb. 25, 1964
Tabulated by: O.G. Brush, Purchasing Agent

"CITY OF AUSTIN BIDS FOR ASPHALT

Description	Estimated Quantity	Texas Emulsions		Humble Oil & Ref. Co.		American Petrofina Co. of Texas	
		Unit Price	Total Net Price	Unit Price	Total Net Price	Unit Price	Total Net Price
Emulsion	200,000 gal.	\$.1010	\$20,200.00	\$.1095	\$21,900.00	\$.12	\$24,000.00
RC-2 Cutback Asphalt	25,000 gal.	No Bid		.1092	2,730.00	.1275	3,187.50
OA Asphalt	100,000 gal.	No Bid		.0942	9,420.00	.12	12,000.00
Description	Estimated Quantity	Gulf States Asphalt Co.		Wright Asphalt Products			
		Unit Price	Total Net Price	Unit Price	Total Net Price		
Emulsion	200,000 gal.	No Bid		\$.1131	\$22,620.00		
RC-2 Cutback Asphalt	25,000 gal.	\$.1134	\$ 2,835.00	.1134	2,835.00		
OA Asphalt	100,000 gal.	.0956	9,560.00	.0956	9,560.00		

"NOTE: All total figures are net.

Invitation to bid sent to all firms in this area who are able to bid.

Low bids taken Feb. 19, 1963 - Texas Emulsions for Emulsion \$.1010 per gal same as this bid.

Humble Oil and Refining Company \$.1012 for RC-2 Cutback Asphalt and \$.0912 for OA Asphalt.

RECOMMENDATION: It is recommended that low bids be accepted as follows:

Emulsion - Texas Emulsions Inc. of Austin
RC-Cutback - Humble Oil and Refining Company
OA-Asphalt - Humble Oil and Refining Company

"W. T. Williams, Jr. City Manager"

Councilman Shanks offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on February 25, 1964, for 200,000 gallons of emulsion, 25,000 gallons of cutback asphalt and 100,000 gallons of OA asphalt; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Texas Emulsions Inc. of Austin, in the sum of \$20,200.00 was the lowest and best bid for the emulsion; and,

WHEREAS, the bids of Humble Oil and Refining Company, in the sums of \$2,730.00 and \$9,420.00, for cutback asphalt and OA asphalt, respectively, were the lowest and best bids therefor; and

WHEREAS, the acceptance of such bids has been recommended by the Purchasing Agent of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Texas Emulsions Inc. of Austin, in the sum of \$20,200.00 for the emulsion; bids of Humble Oil and Refining Company in the sums of \$2,730.00 and \$9,420.00 for cutback asphalt and OA Asphalt, respectively, be and the same are hereby accepted, and that W. T. Williams, Jr., be and he is hereby authorized to execute contracts, on behalf of the City, with Texas Emulsions Inc. of Austin and Humble Oil and Refining Company.

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:

"Sealed bids opened 2:00 P.M. February 20, 1964

Tabulated by: O. G. Brush, Purchasing Agent

"CITY OF AUSTIN BIDS ON TRUCKS

Bid No.	Department	Description	Quan.	Capitol Chev- rolet	Arm- strong- Johnson	Interna- tional Harvester	Longhorn Truck & Equip- ment Co.	Depen- dable Motors
1033	Electric Distribu- tion	One ton Cab & Chassis Including 1 Trade-in	1 ea.	\$1724.66	\$1707.00	\$1762.00	---	\$1759.00
1034	Electric Distribu- tion	One ton Cab & Chassis Including 1 Trade-in	1 ea.	1799.66	1717.00	<u>1692.00</u>	---	1759.00
1035	Electric Distribu- tion	3/4 ton Cab & Chassis Including 1 Trade-in	1 ea.	1693.27	1671.00	<u>1541.00</u>	---	1666.00
1036	Electric Distribu- tion	3/4 ton Cab & Chassis Including 2 Trade-ins	2 ea.	3261.00	3256.00	<u>2997.00</u>	---	3257.00
1037	Electric Distribu- tion	One ton Cab & Chassis Including 2 Trade-ins	2 ea.	---	3129.00	2914.00	---	3218.00

Bid No.	Department	Description	Quan.	Capitol Chev- rolet	Arm- strong- Johnson	Interna- tional Harvester	Longhorn Truck & Equip- ment Co.	Depen- dable Motors
1038	Electric Distribu- tion	3/4 ton Pick- up Includ- ing 2 Trade- ins	2 ea.	\$3265.04	\$3428.00	<u>\$3107.00</u>	---	\$3397.00
1039	Sanita- tion Division	Truck Cab & 1 Chassis & 5 C Y Dump Body with 1 C Y loader Including 1 Trade-in	1 ea.	7678.27	---	7643.00	---	<u>7599.00</u>
1040	Parks Division	Truck Cab & 1 Chassis with general pur- pose body, winch & pin poles -Includ- ing 1 Trade-in	1 ea.	4187.00	4510.00	4596.00	---	<u>4165.00</u>
1041	Parks Division	Truck Cab & Chassis with 3 C Y Dump Beds, Including 2 Trade-ins	2 ea.	<u>6049.98</u>	6146.00	6120.00	---	6130.00
1055	Street & Bridge Division	1/2 ton Pick- up Truck Including 1 Trade-in	2 ea.	3988.56	4063.00	<u>3981.00</u>	---	4172.00
1056	Street & Bridge Division	10 to 12 Cubic Yard Tandem Dump Including 2 Trade-ins	1 ea.	---	8411.00	<u>8180.00</u>	9650.00	---
1057	Street & Bridge Division	5 C Y Dump with 5 C Y Dump Bed & Hoist Including 1 Trade-in	1 ea.	4653.99	4787.00	<u>4521.00</u>	---	4620.00
1058	Street & Bridge Division	2 1/2 ton Truck with Platform Bed Includ- ing 1 Trade- in	1 ea.	4078.87	4254.00	<u>3927.00</u>	---	4152.00

Bid No.	Department	Description	Quan.	Capitol Chev- rolet	Arm- strong- Johnson	Interna- tional Harvester	Longhorn Truck & Equip- ment Co.	Depen- dable Motors
1059	Street & Bridge Division	3 to 4 C Y Truck with Bed & Hoist Including 1 Trade-in	1 ea.	\$3489.53	\$3791.00	<u>\$3374.00</u>	---	\$3499.00
1060	Street & Bridge Division	2½ ton Cab & Chassis No Trade-in	2 ea.	6473.66	6638.00	6296.00	---	<u>6184.00</u>
1061	Street & Bridge Division	5 C Y Dump Truck with 5 C Y Dump Bed & Hoist Including 1 Trade-in	1 ea.	3989.37	4183.00	<u>3881.00</u>	---	3919.00

"RECOMMENDATION: Recommend order be awarded to the respective low bidders in the following manner:

Capitol Chevrolet, Inc.	- 2 each including 2 trade-ins	\$6,049.98
Armstrong-Johnson	- 1 each including trade-in	1,707.00
International Harvester	- 15 each including 15 trade-ins	40,115.00
Dependable Motors	- 4 each including 2 trade-ins	17,948.00

"W. T. Williams, Jr. City Manager"

Councilman LaRue offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on February 20, 1964, for twenty-two (22) various types of trucks for use by departments of the City; and,

WHEREAS, The bid of Armstrong-Johnson, in the sum of \$1707.00 for one 1-ton truck and trade-in; the total bid of International Harvester, in the sum of \$40,115.00 and 15 trade-ins for fifteen (15) various type trucks; the bid of Capitol Chevrolet, in the sum of \$6,049.98 and two trade-ins, for two truck cabs and chassis with 3 cu. yd. dump beds, and the total bid of Dependable Motors, in the sum of \$17,948.00 and two trade-ins for four (4) various type trucks, were the lowest and best bids therefor, and the acceptance of such bids has been recommended by the Purchasing Agent of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Armstrong Johnson, in the sum of \$1707.00, and trade-in; the total bid of International Harvester, in the sum of \$40,115.00 and fifteen (15) trade-ins; the bid of Capitol Chevrolet, in the sum of \$6,049.98 and two (2) trade-ins; and the total bid of Dependable Motors, in the sum of \$17,948.00 and two (2) trade-ins, be and the same are hereby accepted, and that W. T. Williams,

Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby authorized to execute contracts, on behalf of the City, with Armstrong-Johnson, International Harvester, Capitol Chevrolet and Dependable Motors.

The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

MR. ARTHUR VELASQUEZ, MR. NASH MORENO and MR. RUDY CISNEROS appeared before the Council in the interest of Police Officer DON CARPENTER MEMORIAL. Mr. Velasquez stated they in East Austin had started a support of the Police Department effort, and they wanted to start the official DON CARPENTER MEMORIAL DAY. Council members purchased tickets for the event to be held March 4, 7:30 P.M. The Mayor commended the group for its efforts.

Councilman Shanks offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a release and quitclaim to the lawful owners of all properties located in Ridgewood Village Section 1, a subdivision in the City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, of any and all rights held or exercisable by the City of Austin pursuant to that certain agreement dated January 6, 1953, recorded in Volume 1406, Pages 47-50 of the Deed Records of Travis County, Texas, by and between A. D. Stenger and the City of Austin.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman Shanks offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, on May 19, 1958, M. M. Brinkley, as owner, and the City of Austin, a municipal corporation, entered into an instrument in writing recorded in Book 1949, Page 43, Deed Records, Travis County, Texas, which has been renewed and extended from time to time, whereby the City of Austin obtained certain rights and privileges pertaining to sanitary fill and trash disposal on the land described in such instrument, and

WHEREAS, the said M. M. Brinkley desires to obtain a partial release from the City of Austin from the rights and privileges obtained by the City in said 1958 instrument, and the City of Austin deems it appropriate to grant such partial release on 5.457 acres of the tract described in said 1958 instrument, NOW THEREFORE:

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Austin, a municipal corporation, that the City Manager of the City of Austin, be and he is hereby, authorized and directed to execute on behalf of the City

of Austin a partial release of any and all rights and privileges obtained by the City of Austin in said 1958 instrument on 5.457 acres of land out of the land described in said instrument, and the consideration to be received in said instrument, and the consideration to be received by the City of Austin shall be the sum of \$10.00.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Long, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Mayor Palmer introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 630919-C;
APPROPRIATING ADDITIONAL FUNDS TO ACCOUNT NO.
1901; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY. (\$1,500 for
City's participation in Horticultural Program)

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Long moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Long moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Councilman LaRue stated this was on a trial basis; and if it did not work out, the program could be discontinued.

Mayor Palmer brought up the following ordinance for its third reading:

AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE EXTENSION OF CERTAIN
BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND THE ANNEXA-
TION OF CERTAIN ADDITIONAL TERRITORY CONSISTING OF
6.49 ACRES OF LAND, SAME BEING OUT OF AND A PART OF
THE S. Q. WHATLEY SURVEY, IN TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS;
WHICH SAID ADDITIONAL TERRITORY LIES ADJACENT TO AND
ADJOINS THE PRESENT BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF
AUSTIN, IN PARTICULARS STATED IN THE ORDINANCE.
(Preswyck Hills, Section 2)

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Long moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Pursuant to published notice thereof the following zoning applications were publicly heard:

H. M. REESE	Rear of 1121D-1123D Springdale	From "C" Commercial
By A. Ferris &	Road, 1120D-1122D Map Street	6th Height & Area
L. C. Reese	4602 Sara Drive	To "C-1" Commercial
		6th Height & Area
		RECOMMENDED by the
		Planning Commission

Councilman Shanks moved that the change to "C-1" Commercial 6th Height and Area be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "C-1" Commercial 6th Height and Area and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -

JAMES and LUCILLE DYE	Tract 1	From "A" Residence
By J. M. Patterson	Rear of 4719-23 Burnet Road	To "C" Commercial
	Tract 2	RECOMMENDED by the
	Rear of 4709-17 Burnet Road	Planning Commission
	Additional Area:	
	Rear of 4725-29 Burnet Road	

The Director of Planning stated in this case, discussion had been held with the property owners regarding the widening of Burnet Road. He said he wanted to be sure they knew that at some time, Burnet Road would be widened, so they could bear that in mind prior to making their building plans. Councilman Shanks moved that the change to "C" Commercial be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "C" Commercial and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -

LEONARD DEARING

1184-1190 1/4 Airport Blvd.

From "C" Commercial
To "C-1" Commercial
RECOMMENDED by the
Planning Commission

Councilman White moved that the change to "C-1" Commercial be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "C-1" Commercial and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -

MRS. L. O. ANDERSON 2809 Rio Grande Street

From "A" Residence
1st Height & Area
To "B" Residence
2nd Height & Area
RECOMMENDED by the
Planning Commission

Councilman White moved that the change to "B" Residence 2nd Height and Area be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change to "B" Residence 2nd Height and Area had been granted and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -

LAWSON RIDGEWAY

Tract 1

By J. G. Threadgill 2414-2502 Steck Avenue

From Interim "A"
Residence 1st Height
and Area
To "B" Residence 1st
Height & Area
RECOMMENDED by the
Planning Commission

Tract 2

2415-2503 Steck Avenue

From Interim "A"
To "B" Residence
RECOMMENDED by the
Planning Commission

Tract 3

8224-8242 Burnet Road
2400-2412 Steck Avenue

From Interim "A"
Residence 1st
Height & Area
To "C" Commercial
6th Height & Area
RECOMMENDED by the
Planning Commission

LAWSON RIDGEWAY
(Con't)

Tract 4
8206-8216 Burnet Road
2401-2415 Steck Avenue

From Interim "A"
Residence 1st
Height & Area
To "C" Commercial
6th Height & Area
RECOMMENDED by the
Planning Commission

Tract 5
8200-8204 Burnet Road

From Interim "A"
Residence 1st
Height & Area
To "C" Commercial
1st Height & Area
RECOMMENDED by the
Planning Commission

Councilman Shanks moved that the following changes be granted: 2414-2502 Steck Avenue to "B" Residence 1st Height and Area; 2415-2503 Steck Avenue to "B" Residence; 8224-8242 Burnet Road and 2400-2412 Steck Avenue to "C" Commercial 6th Height and Area; 8206-8216 Burnet Road and 2401-2415 Steck Avenue to "C" Commercial 6th Height and Area; and 8200-8204 Burnet Road to "C" Commercial 1st Height and Area. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the changes had been granted and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -

JOE GILBRETH

2321-2521 So. Interregional
Highway
Additional Area:
2523-2535 So. Interregional
Highway

From Interim "A"
Residence 1st
Height & Area
To "GR" General Retail
1st Height & Area
RECOMMENDED by the
Planning Commission
with condition

Councilman Long moved that the change to "GR" General Retail 1st Height and Area be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "GR" General Retail 1st Height and Area and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -

ROLFE A. NORMAN
By Robert Mueller

4500 Avenue B
400-404 West 45th Street

From "A" Residence
To "O" Office
RECOMMENDED by the
Planning Commission

Councilman Shanks moved that the change to "O" Office be granted. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "O" Office and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -

T. A. MAYES

1160-1168 Navasota Street
1110-1114 Juniper Street
1111 Myrtle Street

From "A" Residence
2nd Height & Area
To "B" Residence
2nd Height & Area
NOT Recommended by the
Planning Commission

MRS. L. E. BEAVER and another property owner protested to the change of zoning but had no objection to the development of an apartment house. The Director of Planning stated ultimately some of these streets would have to be widened and perhaps some other street arrangements need to be made. Councilman Long asked him if he agreed this to be sound zoning, and he answered at some point, but not at this time. Councilman White asked the Planning Director if the Commission heard their objections and explained to them the uses of each zoning classification. The Planning Director reported no one appeared at the hearing before the Planning Commission; that the only inquiry was from the Wesley Chapel which offered objection. Another property owner objected at first as she was under the impression this was to be used as a night club or rest home, but she stated she had no objections to apartments. MR. DAVID BARROW stated the Commission felt it was in place for apartment development, but the question of widening the street could be confined to this piece of property. The Council wanted to make an onsite inspection of this property. Later in the afternoon meeting, Councilman Long moved that the change be granted as requested. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "B" Residence 2nd Height and Area and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -

JERALD SENTER, M. D.	4909-4913 Harmon Avenue	From "A" Residence
By Joe Gilbreth	1009-1013 East 50th Street	To "O" Office
	Additional Area:	NOT Recommended by the
	1015 East 50th Street	Planning Commission

MR. GILBRETH representing Dr. Senter, stated he planned a 15 unit medical center providing off-street parking for between 135 and 155 automobiles. The objection from the Planning Commission was based on the inadequacy of Harmon Avenue to carry the commercial traffic that this medical center might create. He pointed out the various uses of the surrounding land, stating most of the property is being used for commercial. Dr. Senter reviewed the trend of doctors' moving from the central down town areas to the areas where the people were, and noted more doctors are needed in Austin, and they are coming in and needing office spaces. The Assistant City Attorney inquired about the avigation easement, and Dr. Senter stated he had signed this about a year ago. MRS. MILLS, 1011 East 50th Street stated all to whom she had spoken were in favor of this zoning change, as this development would help the value of their property. The Mayor stated the Council would go look at this property, and give an answer later. In the afternoon meeting, the Council discussed this zoning further. Councilman Long asked that Dr. Senter be contacted about the manner in which he will treat the frontage on 50th Street and how is he going to treat the neighborhood. Will his buildings harmonize with the houses fronting on East 50th Street? The Building Official stated the plans submitted this morning showed the buildings to be facing west and the parking and driveways would be in the "Ell shape." Councilman White moved that the zoning be granted as requested, subject to ascertaining if the necessary avigation easements had been executed. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Not in Council Room when roll was called: Councilman Shanks

The Mayor announced that the change had been granted to "O" Office and the City Attorney was instructed to draw the necessary ordinance to cover.

- - - - -

ROBERT C. AMMANN	912-1012 Peyton Gin Road	From Interim "A"
By Robert Sneed		To "C" Commercial
		(Amended to "IR" Local
		Retail)
		NOT Recommended by the
		Planning Commission

The Director of Planning explained the status of this application in regard to annexation proceedings, stating the engineers for this development had requested postponement of the annexation of this property. MR. ROBERT SNEED represented the applicant, pointing out the advantages to having the tract zoned before development of the residential neighborhood had begun. He discussed the desirability of having a commercial development near a high school, as nearly all high schools have such. Mr. Sneed read Minutes of the Planning Commission in which it was noted the application had been amended to "IR" Local Retail instead of "C" Commercial, and he pointed out the advantages of "IR" Local Retail for the residential section and that it was the proper zoning in this instance. The Director of Planning brought up the F.H.A. recommendations about

the subdivision, the commercial development, and the school proximity, to which recommendations the Planning Department agreed. The Council delayed decision on this application until the land was annexed, and also until the Council could make an on site inspection of the whole area.

- - - - -

LILLIAN C. BROWN
By Joe Perrone

1607-East 7th Street
Additional Area
1609 East 7th Street

From "A" Residence 2nd
Height & Area
To "C" Commercial 2nd
Height & Area
RECOMMENDED by the
Planning Commission

MR. JOE PERRONE represented the applicant stating her application was for 1607 East 7th Street and he felt the request was in order as approved by the Planning Commission. The area is presently a non-conforming use. He stated the opposition felt that commercial would create slums; and some felt the change would increase taxes. MR. F. R. RICE, 1906 East 7th Street protested the change, stating his property had been included as part to be added to the Commercial. His opposition to the zoning change was there were three houses on one small lot and there was the possibility of this creating a slum, as no respectable people want to live so jammed up. Mrs. Brown, Mr. Perrone and Miss Johnson had moved from this neighborhood. Mr. Rice submitted letters in opposition from MRS. ANNIE HUNT and MRS. MARTHA RHAMBO. Opposition was expressed by R. B. SMITH, stating the last commercial change resulted in havoc, and the establishment was such that no one would tolerate. EMMETT MIGUEL, 1611 East 7th Street, stated it made no difference to him. MRS. RHAMBO expressed opposition, asking that the area remain residential. Mr. Rice stated he had been working with the Council on these blighted areas, and asked that this not be granted. The Council delayed action until it could make an on-site inspection of this area.

- - - - -

C. C. SUGGS &
WINONA LASATER

6503-6505 Berkman Drive

From "A" Residence
To "B" Residence
NOT Recommended by the
Planning Commission

MRS. LASATER represented the applicant stating much of the area along Berkman Drive is vacant. She believed the "B" Residence zoning would improve the area greatly. They proposed to construct a brick veneer apartment unit with nine off-street parking places. The Council wanted to make an on-site inspection of this location, and no action was taken at this time.

- - - - -

PEARL ANDERSON LEE
By Bradley Bourland

1305 Chicon Street

From "GR" General Retail
To "C-1" Commercial
RECOMMENDED by the
Planning Commission

Mr. Bradley Bourland represented the applicant stating the "C-1" Commercial development would be the best use for the property. A letter from the pastor of the Sheppard of the Hills Revival Center was read stating the proposed Drive-in

Store would be not more than 25 feet south of the church property, and the congregation protested the sale of beer at this location. A telegram in opposition to the change was received by EARLY CHAMBERS and WILLIE MAE CHAMBERS. The Planning Director stated at the time of the hearing there was no record of a church being located there, and that was not considered. Rev. McDonald stated this was a Church holding two services on Sunday and services on several days of the week. Rev. McDonald stated the Church had never been abandoned. Several members of the Church and several nearby property owners appeared in opposition. The Council deferred action until it could make an on-site inspection of the area. Later in the meeting this application was discussed again. Councilman LaRue suggested checking to see whether or not there is a church there, as the Planning Commission Chairman said they would not have voted it had that been the case. The Mayor said the grocery store could be constructed in its present zone. Councilman Shanks moved that the Council sustain the Planning Commission's recommendation. The motion lost for lack of a second. Councilman Long moved that the Council overrule the recommendation of the Planning Commission and deny the request for "C-1" Commercial. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: Councilman Shanks

The Mayor announced that the change had been DENIED.

- - - - -

DAVID B. BARROW,
ET AL

3825-3835 South Inter-
regional Highway

From Interim "A" Resi-
dence 1st Height &
Area
To "GR" General Retail
1st Height & Area
RECOMMENDED by the
Planning Commission

MR. DAVID BARROW represented himself, stating he entered into a contract to sell this property to Continental Oil Company, and he thought he made the application for the type of zoning they wanted. The Company feels it needs 2nd Height and Area, in order to erect their sign 50' in height. The Planning Director spoke in opposition to the 2nd Height and Area, in that all property owners in the past had been asked to have either 1st Height and Area or 6th Height and Area. Other service stations on South Interregional had 1st or 6th Height and Area. Mr. Barrow stated he would agree with the Planning Director on this, on areas nearer into the city; but not in this area. After discussion, Mayor Palmer asked the Assistant City Attorney to give a legal interpretation as to whether the Council could grant this change of zoning which had not been advertised. Later in the afternoon, the Council discussed this application again, and the City Attorney suggested that the Council refer the application back for hearing of 2nd Height and Area, and the Commission would need to readvertise the hearing. Councilman Long moved to refer this application back to the Planning Commission for rehearing on "GR" General Retail 2nd Height and Area. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Not in Council Room when roll was called: Councilman Shanks

The Council recessed until 4:00 P.M.

RECESSED MEETING

4:00 P.M.

At 4:00 P.M. the Council resumed its business.

The Council had before it for consideration recommendations on fees and charges for recreation services.

The recommended Green Fees for the Municipal and Morris Williams Courses were submitted. Regarding Hancock Recreation Center, Councilman Long stated that mention had been made that this would be an 18 hole golf course, and she was not for that, as it was not designed for such. The Council indicated no change on the recommended green fees, other than leaving the 25¢ fee for Public School Students, who play at Hancock Park, the same. Councilman Long stated a study of Hancock should be made to see if it should continue to be used as a golf course or as general recreation, as many other people would like to use it for general recreation purposes. The City Manager stated this course would be abandoned eventually, as a nine-hole golf course is not economical. It definitely would be eliminated if another golf course is constructed. The Director of Recreation stated the Parks and Recreation Board had a special Committee that wanted to study this, as they are interested in the future of Hancock Park.

The recommended Annual Fees for all courses were discussed, along with Students' Fees and Tournament Fees. No changes were suggested.

Councilman Shanks asked about a fee for the use of golf carts, but this was not decided upon. The Recreation Director stated the locker services were being discontinued, as the public did not use them. He stated the caddy fee per year amounted to so little that it was just eliminated.

Councilman Long moved that the Council accept the recommendation of the Director of Recreation and the City Manager on the Golf Fees as follows:

	Present	Recommended	Revenue 1963
GREEN FEES:			
MUNICIPAL & WILLIAMS			\$50,683.93
18 holes - Weekends & Holidays	\$ 1.50	\$ 2.00	
4 P.M. Oct.-Mar.			
5 P.M. Apr.-Sept.	.80	1.25	
18 holes - Week days	1.00	1.50	
4 P.M. Oct.-Mar.			
5 P.M. Apr.-Sept.	.60	1.00	
Public School Students before noon - week days	.50	.50	
HANCOCK			\$14,008.48
18 holes - Weekends & Holidays	1.50	2.00	
9 holes - Weekends & Holidays	.80	1.25	
18 holes - Week Days	1.00	1.50	
9 holes - Week days	.60	1.00	
Public School Students anytime	.25	.25	

ANNUAL FEES - All Courses	\$ 50.00	\$ 100.00	\$12,752.05
1st 6 months	30.00		
2nd 6 months	20.00		
1st Quarter		40.00	
2nd Quarter		30.00	
3rd Quarter		20.00	
4th Quarter		10.00	
Family 2 people	90.00		
Family 3 people	120.00		
Husband & Wife		150.00	
1st Quarter		60.00	
2nd Quarter		40.00	
3rd Quarter		30.00	
4th Quarter		20.00	
Students per semester	15.00	20.00	
University of Texas			
Phys. Ed. Classes	.50	.50	
Intramurals Preliminaries	.50	.50	
Varsity Golf Team	-0-	-0-	

TOURNAMENT FEES

City Championship Men	1.50	1.50
City Championship Women	1.50	1.50
4th July Tourney	1.50	(cut)
Jr. Tournaments	-0-	-0-

SPECIAL SERVICE FEES

Lockers per year	6.00	(cut)
Caddy 18 holes	2.00	(cut)
9 holes	1.00	(cut)
Shag per hr.	.75	(cut)

SECONDARY SCHOOL TEAM

Green Fees Week Days.	.50	.50
School Semester Week Days	10.00	10.00

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

The City Manager inquired in line with the opening of the Morris Williams Golf Course about the free play. The Director of Recreation outlined plans, stating the free play was by prearrangement, and that publicity would be given asking the players to make reservations. Councilman Long moved that the effective date for the new golf fees be Sunday, April 19, 1964. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Councilman Long moved in opening the Morris Williams Golf Course, that free play be allowed on Saturday, April 18th, to be done by prior reservations. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: Councilman LaRue

Councilman LaRue voting against the motion, as he did not think the course should be free that day, as everyone was anxious to play.

The recommended Swimming Fees were considered. The Director of Recreation recommended at Barton Springs, the charge for adults be raised 10 cents and that a charge of 10¢ be made at all pay pools for all pre-school age children if they are in a swim suit. This is a major change in policy. Councilman Long stated she could not go along with the increase of fees for adults or charging for children under six. The Recreation Director stated there were so many free swimming pools in operation, and another one under construction, that the City was providing pretty good swimming facilities. Councilman Long stated she felt that the pools were there for the general public. If the price is set too high, the purpose of the pools would be defeated. The better pools are pay pools; and if there is a mother there with three or four children and she has to pay ten cents more and a dime each for the smaller children, they would be driven away from the larger pools. The Mayor pointed out the added responsibilities of the life guards as they were being used as baby sitters. The Director of Recreation stated Barton Springs was predominately an adult pool. Very few children used it. Councilman Long stated that was right and the people in South Austin were exactly right, as it was an adult pool, and they need a large swimming pool in South Austin. She stated she would go for the increase at Barton, the one city wide pool, that the increased rate would be justified there.

Councilman LaRue moved that the Council accept the recommendation on the new fees for Barton Springs Swimming Pools effective at the beginning of the season on March 21st, 1964, as follows:

	Present	Recommended	Revenue 1963
SWIM FEES			
Barton Springs			\$39,215.79
Adults	\$.30	\$.40	
Secondary School	.20	.20	
Children Under 12	.10	.10	
Pre-School Under 6	-0-	.10	
Swim Ticket - 40 Swims	10.00	12.00	

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None

Councilman Shanks moved that the Council accept the recommended change of fees for Northwest Swimming Pool, Deep Eddy, Bartholomew, and Givens, effective at the opening of the pool, as follows:

	Present	Recommended	Revenue 1963
SWIM FEES			
Northwest			\$24,778.55
Deep Eddy			8,694.56
Bartholomew			18,439.04
Adults	\$.30	\$.35	
Secondary School	.20	.20	
Children Under 12	.10	.10	
Pre-School Under 6	-0-	.10	
Swim Ticket - 40 Swims	10.00	10.00	
Givens			3,065.82
Adults	.25	.30	
Secondary School	.15	.15	
Children Under 12	.05	.05	
Pre-School Under 6	-0-	.05	
Swim Ticket - 40 Swims	10.00	10.00	

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:
 Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
 Noes: Councilman Long

Councilman Long voting against the motion for reasons she had stated just previously.

Councilman LaRue moved that the Council accept the recommendation of the Special Fees at the swimming pools, as follows:

	Present	Recommended	Revenue 1963
SPECIAL FEES			
Towels	\$.15	\$.15	
Towel Deposit	.25	.25	
Swim Suits	.50	.50	
Swim Suit Deposit	1.00	1.00	
Private Dressing Room	.10	.10	
Deposit for Key	1.00	1.00	

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:
 Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
 Noes: None

The Council had under consideration the recommendation of the Director of Recreation Camping Fees at Lake Austin Park. Councilman Long stated she would try this and see how it worked out; if a little family wanted to camp over night, it was a shame they would have to pay \$1.00 to do so when there are all the open places in Texas; but if this would bring law and order to the Municipal Park, she would vote for it. Councilman Long moved that the Council accept the recommendation that the following Camping Fees be charged at Lake Austin Park:

	Present	Recommended	Revenue 1963
LAKE AUSTIN PARK			
Per car	\$.50	\$.50	\$7,466.00
Camping per day (2 week limit)	-0-	1.00	

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:
 Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
 Noes: None

Councilman LaRue moved that the Council accept the recommended fees for the AUSTIN ATHLETIC CLUB, HANCOCK, and PAN AMERICAN CENTER, as follows:

	Present	Recommended	Revenue 1963
RECREATION CENTERS			
Fee Per Term			
Austin Athletic Club	\$ 2.00	\$ 3.00	\$4,353.00
Hancock	2.00	3.00	\$4,260.00
Pan American	.25	.25	\$ 166.50

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:
 Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
 Noes: None

Councilman Long stated this would run off as many people as they will get. Everytime the fees have been raised, people have been run off, but she was willing to try it; and if it does not work out, the fees will be rolled back. She had that promise. She stated she was just going to try these things; but she did not like them. The Recreation Director stated these fees would become effective next September.

The Council discussed the tennis fees at the CASWELL COURTS. Councilman Long stated since there were lots of free tennis courts, and these at Caswell were used mostly by professional, that these fees were all right. The Recreation Director recommended that these fees go into effect on April 19th, 1964. Councilman Long moved that the Council accept the recommendation of the City Manager and Recreation Director, as follows:

	Present	Recommended	Revenue 1963
CASWELL TENNIS			
Annual Fees			\$3,018.50
Adults	\$50.00	\$75.00	
1st 6 months	30.00	50.00	
2nd 6 months	20.00	25.00	
U. T. Students			
Per Semester	15.00	17.00	
Junior Annual	15.00	15.00	
Summer Only	10.00	10.00	
Court Fee per 1½ Hr.			
per player	.75	.75	
Locker Fee per year	6.00	6.00	

	Present	Recommended	Revenue 1963
CASWELL TENNIS (Con't)			
Tournament Fee for Adults only	\$ 1.00	\$ 1.00	
The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer Noes: None			
The Council had before it the recommendation for Service Fees for use of facilities. Councilman Long moved that the Council accept the recommendation of the City Administration, effective March 1st, 1964, with the exception of those who already have reservations, covering the following facilities:			
	Present	Recommended	Revenue 1963
MUNICIPAL GOLF CLUB HOUSE			\$ 919.08
Adults 7-12 P.M.	\$25.00	\$25.00	
Teenage 7-12 P.M.	15.00	25.00	
Extra 12-1 A.M.	2.00	3.00	
ZILKER CLUB HUT			
1-5 P.M.	7.00	10.00)	
7-12 P.M.	7.00	10.00)	
All Day		15.00)	
Extra 12-1 A.M.	2.00	3.00)	\$3,491.00
ZILKER CLUB HOUSE			
1-5 P.M.	10.00	15.00)	
7-12 P.M.	10.00	15.00)	
All Day		20.00)	
Extra 12-1 A.M.	2.00	3.00)	
HANCOCK CENTER			\$ 80.00
Adults 7-1 A.M.	50.00	50.00	
Teenage 7-12 P.M.	25.00	25.00	
PAN AMERICAN CENTER			\$ 181.50
Clubroom 7-12 P.M.	15.00	15.00	
Gym 7-12 P.M.	30.00	30.00	
Terrace 7-12 P.M.	30.00	30.00	
Extra hours		2.00	

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, White, Mayor Palmer
Noes: None
Not in Council Room when roll was called: Councilman Shanks

The Council had before it recommended fees for Doris Miller Auditorium, Rosewood Club House, Zaragoza Park, Athletic Fields and Athletic Club. For Doris Miller, the Director of Recreation stated most of the uses for this was by the

Sororities and Civic groups, and he explained his recommended increases. Councilman Long inquired about the item of Church Services, if there were very many church meetings. She stated small Churches would not have \$10.00 as a rule. The Recreation Director stated this was reserved only to a church which was already organized and which wanted it for some special program. Councilman Long inquired if it were used by a Women's Auxiliary, a small group, or the Men's Brotherhood. The Recreation Director stated a small group would use the Rosewood Club House. Councilman Long inquired as to what type of church service was held at Doris Miller. The Recreation Director stated occasionally a Church Convention, which would be a large group of some 400 to 500. She stated she was talking about the smaller groups. The Recreation Director stated the smaller ones did not make use of the Auditorium, and he did not think there would be any complaints to this fee. Councilman Long asked if they just used the Club House, was it free? The Recreation Director stated for a Church group it was free; but for a private or fraternal party, it was proposed to increase the charge from \$6.00 to \$8.00. The Recreation Director recommended for Commercial Shows and Dances that Doris Miller not be rented to an individual or small group for a profit making enterprise; if it is, he would recommend the fee to be increased from \$75.00 to \$100.00. Councilman Long said she would like to keep it so it could be rented. The Coliseum and the Auditorium are rented, and there should not be any discrimination. The Mayor stated if the Fee is \$100.00 the promoters would probably want to go to the Coliseum.

Councilman Long inquired about the Special Hour fee per hour. The Recreation Director reported the Buildings were open certain hours, and if a group wanted to schedule something where there would be overtime pay, then he would like to set the charge at \$1.50 per hour. This would be beyond 10:00 P.M. on week nights. Councilman Long inquired about Downs Field and Zaragosa--The Recreation Director stated on all of the fields the charges were the same. Where the Recreation Department is sponsoring a game, there would be no charges. If a team wants to use Butler Diamond, and they charge admission, then there would be a \$25.00 charge for a night game. Councilman LaRue moved that the Council accept the recommended fees, effective March 1st, except on those where prior reservations had been made, on the following:

	Present	Recommended	Revenue 1963
DORIS MILLER AUDITORIUM			\$ 995.00
Parties-Dances-Shows			
No Admission	\$25.00	\$35.00	
Admission	35.00	50.00	
Teenagers	15.00	20.00	
Civic and Church Groups			
Church Services	5.00	10.00	
Civic Meetings	5.00	10.00	
Political Meetings	5.00	10.00	
Fund Raising Events	15.00	15.00	
Commercial Shows and Dances promoted for a profit	75.00	100.00	
ROSEWOOD CLUBHOUSE			\$ 143.50
Civic or Church	-0-	-0-	
Building normally open			
Special hours per hour	\$ 1.50	\$ 1.50	
Private or Fraternal			
7-12 P.M.	6.00	8.00	
Extra Hours	1.50	2.00	

	Present	Recommended	Revenue
ZARAGOSA PARK			
Terrace Evenings	\$10.00	\$10.00	
ATHLETIC FIELDS			
Downs Field			\$ 360.00
House Park			
Butler Park			
Zaragosa Park			\$ 200.00
Night Games	\$25.00	\$25.00	
Day Games	10.00	10.00	
ATHLETIC CLUB			\$ 14.00
Adults 7-12 P.M.	\$25.00	\$25.00	
Teenagers 7-12 P.M.	15.00	15.00	
Clubroom 4 hours	4.00	4.00	

The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:
 Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, White, Mayor Palmer
 Noes: None

The City Manager had a suggested program for the Ceremony for the opening of Morris Williams Golf Course. The Recreation Director was asked to send copies to the Council.

The Council resumed its consideration of the Community Renewal Program. The City Manager reported this program was an extensive survey, covering the whole City of Austin and goes into the more densely settled areas beyond the City, as ultimately those areas would be within the City. The Survey is intended to include studies of all sorts of conditions and situations involving the character of the buildings and structures, the ability of people to pay rent, and the economic aspects from that point of view; the marketability of property and the market for property, the question of whether or not certain types of single family residences would sell if there was a market for them if they were built and where the market demands really are. Things of that kind would be of considerable value to all of the people who are engaged in building houses as well as being an advantage to people who are interested in seeing for themselves about houses that are being built for them to live in.

There would be studies of the blight areas to determine not just the question of whether there is a blight area but what caused it. The City Manager said there is a new concept now which originally was not given much consideration that if certain types of people are moved into a new environment from a slum, a new slum would be created. Something is causing the blight or slum where it is, and study needs to be given as to what can be done to prevent the creation of another slum by certain types of people who may move in from one that now exists. Studies of that kind are generally a matter of education, but the type of education and information that those people need and what kind of program could be worked up which would help to eliminate the creation of new slums is just as important as the elimination of slums.

The City Manager referred to some information furnished the Council, stating this was prepared sometime ago and approved by the Federal Agency so far as budget is concerned. This program is to extend over quite a period of time. It

would take about two years to complete it. He discussed the budget in detail as it pertained to City personnel, printing, reproductions, rent, telephone, etc., and administration in general.

Another item of the budget pertained to certain types of consultants which would be needed and who could give some guidance to certain details. The real detailed work would be done by employees of the Planning Department; and in some instances by other Departments of the City including the Legal Department.

The City Manager described quite in detail what the Sociological Consultant would include in his studies, what causes slums, what causes certain types or groups of people to create a slum when they move into it, equal housing, etc. The Economics and Market Consultant would do an extensive study of the market conditions and the types of houses that are needed, etc. Councilman LaRue stated people were trying to determine the market conditions every day. All of the home builders in the City are testing the market daily. The City Manager stated that information would be available to the Consultant; but the low cost housing market is not under such a study. The Mayor said the areas of real concern were not being tested--the areas where there are high incidents of crime, juvenile delinquency, diseases, fire and police calls, all of which have an economic value on any house in any location. The City Manager stated if the study revealed a demand for \$6,000-\$8,000 houses, that this market is not tested at the present time. There have been a few experiments, but only on a very small scale. If there is such a need for those, this survey would reveal that fact. In the area where in the future, there will be Urban Renewal or maybe demolition of existing facilities, this survey would give an indication to the owner as to what the property could be best used for from the point of view of market. It would give not only to the big home builders, but to the individual property owner, some indication of what the best use of his property would be if some old shacks were demolished. Councilman LaRue said the Urban Renewal people are determining that, and they have the answer. The City Manager stated in each individual plan there is a specific proposal as to what each part would be. He cited one of the problems confronted by a number of Urban Renewal Areas was after the project was done, the demands did not exist and the market was not there for the property to be used as had been planned in the Urban Renewal. This study would assure that the planning by Urban Renewal would be fitted to the best markets for the property in a particular area. Councilman LaRue said he certainly hoped they had this information if they recommend that this several million dollar program be done; and if there were nothing more than estimates as to what the results were to be, there is trouble ahead. The City Manager discussed the particular project, which was a very small one, stating most of the land to be acquired would be publicly owned. One reason for the size was there was no survey; it was limited because it was not known what could be done with the area that might have to be converted so far as private use was concerned. Councilman LaRue stated the City had been studying all this for years, and he would assume they have a fairly good answer to all of those things itemized. Councilman Long stated this was only in the Kealing Project; that there were large areas where Urban Renewal was needed where the studies have to be made. Councilman LaRue stated they had studied all of these when the two sites were picked. The City Manager explained the area was eight times what it is now. Councilman Shanks inquired if there would be any attempt made to perpetuate such a study. The City Manager stated there would be an occasional spot check, but it would not be a continuous study. Mayor Palmer said there was a continuing problem, but the studies may not be made in the detail as pointed out. He stated 70% of all of the population would live within 40 major cities within the United States.

The City Manager stated the total estimated budget for the whole study is \$110,000 and the City's share is \$38,000. The Mayor stated if the City did all of this work, the payroll would be over \$57,000. Councilman LaRue did not agree, as he stated there were two projects now that had taken some four or five years to get started; and by the time these figures are needed, there are at least three more years with the present staff without any additional staff. The Mayor stated the City had to be recertified every year; and if a proof cannot be made to the Government that there is a community awareness, then there will never be available any kind of FHA financing. Public housing, Urban Renewal and the FHA programs are tied into this. He stated the workable program is the key of all of the other projects. The Mayor stated March 4 was the deadline for this contract.

The Planning Director reported in a particular area, Tulsa, the impact of the C.R.P. was in the area of private real estate and private development and the private realtors were able to tie their plans in with the population increase and a few economic indicators, and they had an idea of how many apartments should be developed; how many single family houses should be planned in terms of private planning, working with all the financial institutions. The result in Tulsa was a stream of private development after this information had been accumulated, because this was the basis upon which they could make their decisions.

The City Attorney stated one observation made was that Texas was in a different position than some of the other States for the reason the Enabling Act on Urban Renewal requires, does not leave optional, but requires that whatever plan for Urban Renewal is adopted provide a maximum opportunity for the objectives to be achieved by the use of private capital. The Mayor stated this study was no different than when the Highway Department tells us if we were not concerned about the transportation problems and the mass transportation problems and if the City were not willing to make a study on it, there will be no more funds from the Highway Department.

The City Manager reviewed the estimated time to be spent on this C.R.P. by regular personnel, stating that this time is included in the budget and two-thirds of the cost will be borne by the Federal Government. Councilman LaRue stated that comes to approximately \$5,000 out of a \$110,000 program. The City Manager stated as far as the rest was concerned, additional employees are necessary to get the job done within the 20 months, and they are not regular Planning Department employees, but would be employed. If they were on the payroll they would completely be diverted to this work, and others would have to be employed to take their places. Councilman LaRue asked if the Federal Government would accept the Planning Staff's being enlarged; and if the answers have to be made in the 20 months period. The Planning Director explained the contract set it up for a 20 months period. Councilman LaRue stated he thought this could be done for less than \$38,000 as Mr. Osborne had most of the answers to these questions already or a great percent of them. The Director of Planning said probably there would be a request for an extension of time. He stated the key cost involved was in the economic study and the land use study at a total around \$25,000 to \$35,000. It is proposed there will be an Economic Consultant who is most familiar with market analysis techniques. In Fort Worth, the market study was done by a Consultant out of New York at a cost of \$60,000. The Director of Planning stated it was proposed to do this study here, in the neighborhood of about \$25-\$30,000 by using the present staff and some people from the University. Councilman Long noted there has just been completed a study of the Hospital and Health Survey of the City of Austin, and that is just a study of the basic health needs, and that cost \$30,000, and that is just a very small segment of what will be needed here.

It is 33 1/3% of the total here, give or take a little.

The Director of Planning explained there was an overlap in the Planning Department and Urban Renewal in the field surveys, and the updating of the data. He stated the work Urban Renewal might do in the General Neighborhood Renewal Area is included and constitutes a reduction in the costs. He would use their data in the Glen Oaks area, as it would be current, and there would be no duplication in that area. A system would be set up by which there would be data on condition of housing, on land use, etc., that can be utilized on a continuing basis. On the Highway Department's \$115,000-120,000 Transportation Plan, the City had its Origin and Destination Study in advance, and was able to incorporate a part of the C.R.P. Program and the land use information that was required, and thereby realized a savings of about \$20,000 from these studies. Councilman Shanks asked how many of these contracts were in existence. The Planning Director stated there were six, Crystal City being the only one completed. He reviewed costs in other Cities--Fort Worth and El Paso of about \$250,000; and Springfield, Massachusetts, with 165,000 people at a cost of \$250,000. Generally the cost is about \$1.00 per capita. He believed it could be done in Austin at 50 or 60 cents per capita, by utilizing the present staff as much as possible. Councilman White stated Mr. Osborne had said he was going to use his staff, and he inquired what was going to happen to the work he was doing now. The Planning Director stated four people were to be added for the 18-20 months. Councilman White stated they were kept busy now, and he did not see how he could take his people off their duties. It was stated additional help, plus part-time people, plus the use of consultants would be added. Councilman LaRue recalled Councilman Shanks' inquiry if this were to be a continuation in the future. The word is "up-dating", and he believed this would be a continuous study from now on. The City Manager stated Councilman Shanks' question was directed to whether or not there would be \$110,000 survey every two years, and certainly there will not be. It would not take that to keep the program up to date. To best utilize any kind of study, it is necessary to up-date it. The Director of Planning explained the study would give the City an idea what is to be financed five, ten and twenty years from now. Also it will give the Federal Government what it is going to spend down the line in its participation as it is doing capital planning also. After discussion, a Resolution entitled:

RESOLUTION APPROVING AND PROVIDING FOR EXECUTION OF
PROPOSED FIRST AMENDATORY CONTRACT AMENDING CONTRACT
FOR COMMUNITY RENEWAL PROGRAM GRANT NO. TEX. R-59
(CR)(G) BETWEEN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS AND THE
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

was introduced by Mayor Palmer.

Said Resolution and the Contract with the United States described therein were then read in full and discussed and considered.

Councilman Long then moved the adoption of the Resolution as introduced and Read. Councilman Shanks seconded the motion, and, on roll call, the following voted "Aye":

Councilman Long, Councilman Shanks and Mayor Palmer;

the following voted "Nay":

Councilman LaRue and Councilman White.

The Mayor thereupon declared the motion carried and the Resolution adopted as introduced and read.

Following is the resolution adopted:

(RESOLUTION

RESOLUTION APPROVING AND PROVIDING FOR EXECUTION
OF PROPOSED FIRST AMENDATORY CONTRACT AMENDING
CONTRACT FOR COMMUNITY RENEWAL PROGRAM GRANT NO.
TEX. R-59(CR)(G) BETWEEN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS
AND THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

WHEREAS, under Title I of the Housing Act of 1949, as amended, the United States of America (herein called the "Government") has tendered to the City of Austin, Texas (herein called the "Public Body") a proposed amendment to the Contract for Community Renewal Program Grant No. Tex. R-59(CR)(G), dated the 16th day of November, 1961; and

WHEREAS, this Public Body has given due consideration to said proposed Contract Amendment and has found it to be in the interest of this Locality to execute such Contract;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The pending proposed Contract, designated "First Amendatory Contract Amending Contract for Community Renewal Program No. Tex. R-59(CR)" is hereby approved in all respects.

Section 2. The City Manager of this Public Body in its behalf is hereby authorized and directed to execute said proposed First Amendatory Contract in two counterparts, and the City Clerk of this Public Body is hereby authorized and directed to impress and attest the official seal of this Public Body on each counterpart and to forward such counterparts to the Housing and Home Finance Agency, together with two certified copies of the proceedings in connection with the adoption of this Resolution, two certified copies of this Resolution, and such other and further documents relative to the approval and execution of the Amendatory Contract as may be required by the Government.

Section 3: This Resolution shall take effect immediately.

Councilman LaRue made the following statement regarding his vote:

"I vote 'no' and I would like to say I think we can get the answers to these questions a lot cheaper than \$38,000 of cost to the City."

Councilman Long made the following statement concerning her vote:

"I vote 'aye' and I believe in entering into this program. In the future we are saving the city millions and millions of dollars."

Councilman White made the following statement concerning his vote:

"I am going to vote 'no'. I would like to make this statement: I

would like to know how many dollars we have spent in the last seven or eight years on surveys. It is one survey right after another. I would like just to know how much we have spent. It looks like there is going to have to be an end to it."

Mayor Palmer made the following statement concerning his vote:

"I vote 'aye' and I do say that everyone of us on the Council are just as concerned about spending the City's money, in trying to get a dollar's worth out of every dollar. Planning is so important before you move out on something."

MRS. ETHEL BARROW expressed appreciation for the work the Council had done on this. They were thrilled with the Hospital Survey, also.

The City Attorney stated a real rich opportunity would be passed if there were not a pause right now to reflect on the value of analyses that the deliberative assembly type of individual and collective thinking in our form of Government provides. He stated he had in mind the type of thinking that is required to be able to produce something that is for the collective benefit of everybody where there is called up or required a type of analysis in asking the kind of questions that Mr. LaRue had asked. This seems to be an occasion where uncommon recognition can be given of the fact that analytical and good faith analytical approach to questions are productive to good.

The City Manager reviewed a discussion with the Council regarding a joint purchase by the City and the Schools of an area adjacent to Kealing School, stating Councilman Shanks and he visited Mr. Carruth and other School Officials in regard to the purchase. A letter from the Schools has been received indicating the School Board had considered the purchase and was ready to pay their proportionate part, which was 25% of the whole tract. The way the School analyzed it, they would be using only half of the property about one-half of the time, and their part came out to about a fourth of the cost of the land. He discussed the acquisition of this property by the Agency and the purchase by the City at the appraised price after clearance. The Executive Director of Urban Renewal, Mr. Wayne Golden, had called from Fort Worth to say the Federal Government had approved the purchase at the lower appraisal. The next step is to enter into a more formal arrangement with the Agency to acquire the property at the negotiated price, taking the lower appraisal of \$190,000, and the Schools will pay \$45,000, the net cost to the City being about \$145,000. Councilman Long moved that the City Manager be authorized to enter into negotiations for this park and playground tract in the Kealing Project. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Not in Council Room when roll was called: Councilman White

Councilman Long inquired about the procedure now as to the purchase of the property from those in the Kealing Project. The City Attorney and City Manager explained, that most of the people were aware of the procedure. Councilman Long stated as these people called the Council, its answer would be that if they were not satisfied, their alternative would be to go into condemnation proceedings and they would get a fair and impartial hearing. The City Manager described the area between the school tract and Rosewood and from Angelina across to Salina,

stating it would be within the public area. The area between Salina and Chicon from Pennsylvania south, where the present improvements would be removed and multi-family units would be built. In some instances there are property owners who will upgrade their property, and many of the structures may not need upgrading. As to the timing, the loan and grant for this project has been approved. Private capital lends the money, and Urban Renewal Notes for the City of Austin will be sold for the lowest interest rate or highest price on March 2nd. Upon sale of that \$609,000 note, the money will become available. Councilman Long inquired about Angelina Street that was planned to be closed. The City Manager stated this plan could be changed; and if the Council would like, this could be suggested; however, he believed those people would be better satisfied if the street were closed.

Councilman Long inquired about the sequence of events, and the purchase of the land the city and the schools are to buy. The City Manager stated as soon as the money is available, the Agency will purchase the land. The money will not come in until after the notes are sold. He stated it would be possibly two or three weeks. Councilman Long stated the City would then purchase the land from the Agency after it had acquired it and cleared the land.

The City Manager brought up the proposal of Mr. C. B. Smith for leasing the property at 3rd and Lamar, and reviewed the city's acquisition of this lot with state participation only in the right of way, and noted the cost which the city paid for the remainder of the property. Councilman LaRue noted the City was receiving only 4% on its investment, and it would lose a chance to trade this property during the next 15 years. The Mayor called attention to the location with reference to the underpass. Councilman LaRue stated he preferred selling it to holding it for 15 years, and taking the money and invest it. After discussion, Councilman Shanks moved to lease the property to MR. C. B. SMITH (West 3rd and Lamar, at \$100.00 per month, for ten years, and a five year option). The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Not in Council Room when roll was called: Councilman White

The City Manager stated east of Cameron Road and south of East 51st Street, abutting the Airport, there was some property which the City needs to acquire in conjunction with the Airport. It was suggested before the City engaged appraisers that the owner, MR. H. P. ALLEN, be asked to make an offer, and the City has now an opportunity to acquire the eight acres with improvements on some of the land for a reasonable amount. Councilman Long expressed interest in the use of this property. It was stated part of it was essential for the clear zone and some might be used with restrictions. The City Manager stated from time to time there would be increasing activities on the airport, and additional land would be necessary. The City Attorney explained the evaluation of the property--some on an acreage basis; some on front footage value; and he listed the various parcels with and without improvements. Councilman Long inquired what was on the property now that could be leased. It was stated there were three buildings, one with an insurance office. After discussion, Councilman LaRue moved that the City Manager be authorized to negotiate for the acquisition of the aforementioned land. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Not in Council Room when roll was called: Councilman White

The City Manager stated in order to improve West 38th Street at Duval, it had been desired for years to acquire the property at the northeast corner, north of East 38th Street, and east of Duval. Now, the City has another opportunity to buy the property. After discussion, Councilman LaRue moved that the City Manager be authorized to buy the property at the described location at 38th and Duval. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Not in Council Room when roll was called: Councilman White

Councilman Long inquired in line with street improvement, if the street from 41st to 38 $\frac{1}{2}$ on Red River, all City property, along the golf course could have curbs and gutters put in so that the street would be 44' wide like it is from 41st on up to 45th. The City Manager inquired if it would be agreeable after the curbs and gutters were constructed to do the rest of the surfacing with squirt top, and just extend the present type of paving on to 41st. Councilman Long stated that was right; that the street is narrowing down, and those people are coming into that bottleneck. The Director of Public Works stated this was scheduled for part of the summer.

Councilman Long inquired about clearing up the traffic in the evening on 45th and Duval so that left turns could be made. The City Manager stated Duval was one of the most heavily travelled streets in Austin. Councilman Long stated the Traffic Engineer should be instructed to study that and make a change.

The Council discussed purchase of property at 29th and Rio Grande. The City Attorney stated it was now vacant, and it had been on the market for five or six years. Mr. Louis Laibovitz is in the process of purchasing it, and had propositioned to sell to the city what it will need for right of way for 29th Street on a square foot basis, not in excess of a stated price. The Council discussed the amount of square feet out of the 15' right-of-way and determined what a ceiling price would be. The City Attorney stated the City would be paying only on a square foot basis on the amount Mr. Laibovitz paid for the property. Councilman LaRue inquired if 29th Street were to be widened all the way down to Lamar. It was stated it would be. After more discussion, Councilman LaRue moved that the City Manager be authorized to negotiate with MR. LOUIS LAIBOVITZ for the part of property needed at 29th and Rio Grande (southwest corner) on the basis discussed. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Not in Council Room when roll was called: Councilman White

The City Manager stated the Council had already directed that negotiations be made for the property at 29th and Lamar, the Kelly McAdams property, and he said the Council would be interested in seeing what the Director of Public Works and Traffic Engineer had worked out. He displayed a map showing 29th Street to come across the bridge over Lamar and over the creek and the necessary property on the west side of the creek to tie into Northwood to be acquired. He described the plans of 29th on to the underpass at Westover, the overall plan to provide

an access to the University and reduce the load on 24th Street. Councilman Long inquired about the amount of property that would need to be acquired. The City Manager stated the City owned a part of the property now, and in addition to the McAdams property, there are one or two tracts on the west side of the Creek that would be acquired. Then for the widening of 29th Street there is a strip to be acquired for that. The City Attorney pointed out now the largest single items had been settled.

Councilman LaRue inquired about the 15th overpass. The Director of Public Works stated at this time they had the aerial photograph with the route superimposed upon it. The field surveys will be made in about six months. Councilman Long inquired about the schedule of purchasing the property from House Park west. The Director of Public Works stated this was included in this year's budget, and the survey on the right of way is yet to be made. The City Attorney stated it was necessary to determine the route that is to be pursued. The City Manager pointed out the general route was known. The Mayor stated they depended on the Engineering. Councilman Long inquired if the plans were far enough along that it were known if the purchase of a specific tract would be needed within the next six months--1503 Parkway. The City Manager stated possession would not be needed until the next fiscal year; that it would be in the fall or the first of January before there is a design ready to contract. Councilman Long stated she had told Mrs. Wallace to make the City an offer, and it would purchase the land and she could live there until such time the city started construction. Councilman Shanks suggested authorizing the City Manager to negotiate with all of those people affected. Councilman Shanks moved that the City Manager be authorized to negotiate for such property as he deems necessary for this particular route. The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Not in Council Room when roll was called: Councilman White

The City Manager submitted the request of the Eighth U. S. Army Corps for additional parking space at the Federal Building. He stated there were five places including the Marshal's spaces, a loading zone, and further west there is public parking. The request was for the remaining spaces for military vehicles. Councilman Long moved that these spaces be granted to the Eighth Corp in front of the Federal Building on West 8th Street. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Not in Council Room when roll was called: Councilman White

The City Manager made a report on the landscaping at the Auditorium. Mr. King, the Consultant, had a plan for landscaping around the Auditorium, and the Recreation Director; Mr. David Barrow, Chairman of the Town Lake Committee, and the Auditorium Manager discussed the plan. It is the opinion of the Director of Public Works and the Auditorium Manager that the plan needs to be adjusted in some respects. He pointed out some specific items in the plan which would affect the driveways and walkways, and which would be unworkable from the point of moving vehicles in and out of the Auditorium; and some items which would interfere with the uses and operation of the Auditorium. He stated Mr. King had taken the plans

back to his office for revision. The City Manager pointed out this was one of the things that could be expected if there were not very close cooperation and coordination between the staff and anyone else working on the plan.

Councilman Long brought up the amendment to the Building Code. It was stated the City Attorney had rewritten it, and had not reviewed it with the Attorney representing the homebuilders. The Mayor stated he would like to read the revisions over.

Councilman Long stated there was another item that was not on the agenda, and that was the Policy of the Town Lake Development Committee. She stated she reviewed the policies they outlined and made some changes, so that the City would be responsible rather than the Town Lake Committee. She said she would like to submit this to the City Manager. The City Manager expressed appreciation, stating he and the Director of Recreation were working on the policy too, and it had been referred to the Law Department.

Mayor Palmer stated there was pending a zoning matter affecting property on East 51st Street on Interregional Highway (51ST STREET CORPORATION, By Gibson Randle), and he asked if a check could be made to determine the right of way needed. The City Manager stated there was an alternate plan under way, to build 52nd Street over the Highway, but this all has to be approved by the State Highway Department. The Mayor asked that the City Manager check with the Planning Department, and see if avigation easements and right-of-way is still needed.

The City Attorney submitted the request of MR. FRANK BARRON that the Council consider his zoning application which had been pending since 1959. The City Manager reviewed the matter of construction of a bridge in which the applicant was to participate and other items. Councilman Long moved that the following zoning application be referred back to the Planning Commission:

FRANK C. BARRON

4905-5033 Manor Road

From "A" Residence
To "C" Commercial
NOT Recommended by the
Planning Commission
RECOMMENDED "GR" General
Retail on condition

The motion, seconded by Councilman LaRue, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Not in Council Room when roll was called: Councilman White

There being no further business Councilman LaRue moved that the Council adjourn. The motion, seconded by Councilman Shanks, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen LaRue, Long, Shanks, Mayor Palmer

Noes: None

Not in the Council Room when roll was called: Councilman White

The Council adjourned at 7:30 P.M. subject to the call of the Mayor.

APPROVED

L. E. Palmer
Mayor

ATTEST:

Eli Mosley
City Clerk